IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 433 OF 2006

IN

STAMP NUMBER MAIN NO. 1817 OF 2006

ADDL. COLLECTOR OF GOA AND ANR., ...Applicants

Versus

ERNESTO DOS MERCES CARVALHO (SINCE DEC.) THROUGH HIS L.RS. AND ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. S. R. Rivankar, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. P. Pangam, Advocate for respondents no.1b, 1c, 1d, 1e 1f 1j & 1k.

Coram:- J. N. PATEL &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 13th November, 2006

P.C.

Heard.

We find that paragraph 7 of the application for condonation of delay is good and sufficient reason for condoning the delay, though it is about 10 years, 9 months and 24 days.

Before we part with the order, we like to observe that the State should initiate appropriate action against the beneficiary and though he has retired, if he is found responsible for causing the delay, necessary disciplinary action should be taken against the concerned person.

The application is therefore allowed.

The office to register the appeal and list the same for admission after two weeks.

J. N. PATEL, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

ef.